On the number of hyperbolic manifolds of complexity n

A. Magazinov*, I. Shnurnikov†

Abstract

We consider hyperbolic manifolds with boundary, which admit an ideal triangulation with n ideal triangles and one edge. We prove that the number of these manifolds is $\exp(n\ln(n)+O(n))$.

arXiv:1412.8423v2 [math.CO] 28 Jun 2015

Introduction

Frigerio, Martelli and Petronio in [2] considered a class M_n of 3–dimensional oriented manifolds with boundaries which admit an ideal triangulation with n ideal triangulations and one edge. They proved that the complexity in Matveev sense of manifolds in M_n equals n, that the manifolds could be supplied with hyperbolic metrics with geodesic boundaries, and that there are at least $O\left(\frac{6^n}{n}\right)$ manifolds in M_n. We present the asymptotic of the number $\ln|M_n|$:

$$n\ln(n)+n\ln\left(\frac{2}{3e}\right)+O(\ln(n))\le\ln|M_n|\le n\ln(n)+n\ln(180)+O(\ln(n)).$$

We use the correspondence (from [2]) between number $|M_n|$ and the number of oriented special spines with n vertices and one two–dimensional cell. We also use Bollobas' bound of the number of r–regular simple graphs with n vertices.

Definition 1. A special spine is a finite connected two–dimensional cell complex, such that each vertex is incident to 4 edges (with multiplicities) and each edge is incident to three two–dimensional cells (with multiplicities). The regular neighborhood of the inner point of an edge is homeomorphic to a "book with 3 pages", the regular neighborhood of a vertex is homeomorphic to a cone on the edges of tetrahedron. A special spine is orientable, if it could be immersed into an oriented manifold.

Let us call connected graphs without loops and multiple edges as simple graphs. Let us call graphs with all its vertices of fixed degree r as r–regular graphs. B. Bollobas in [1] estimated the number $|U_r(n)|$ of simple regular r–graphs with n vertices (for even nr):

$$\frac{e^{-\frac{r^2-1}{4}}(rn)!}{(\frac{rn}{2})!2^{\frac{rn}{2}}(r!)^n n!}$$

We will use the bound

$$\ln(U_4(n))=n\ln(n)+n\ln\left(\frac{2}{3e}\right)+O(\ln(n)).$$

Main part.

For $G\in A_n$ let $P(G)$ be a class of oriented special spines with singularity graph G and with minimal number of cells (amoung all oriented special spines with singularity graph G). For a spine $S\in P(G)$ let us choose two cells (e_i,e_j) and count the number $v(e_i,e_j)$ of vertices, such that all

*Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics
†NRU HSE

incident to them edges belong to chosen cells. Let $t(S)$ be the maximum of $v(e_i, e_j)$ for all pairs of cells (e_i, e_j).

We shall consider a neighbourhood of G in a spine S with r two–dimensional cells as a graph G with r glued cylinders, were one circle of cylinder is mapped into G and the other is called the boundary line.

Definition 2. An operation *rotation along an edge* e transforms a special oriented spine P into a special oriented spine with the same singularity graph in the following way. Let the edge e belong to cells f_1, f_2 and f_3. Let us consider instead of whole P the neighbourhood of singularity graph in P. Then f_1', f_2' and f_3' will denote cylinders, lying in f_1, f_2 and f_3 and in the neighbourhood of singularity graph. Let us cut the edge e and the free boundaries of f_1', f_2' and f_3'. Let us glue again cutted free boundaries of f_1', f_2' and f_3', but with a cyclic rotation on one side of the cut (i.e. f_1' left with f_2' right, f_2' left with f_3' right, f_3' left with f_1' right). Then we glue discs to obtained free boundaries of cylinders. Let us note that the number of two–dimensional cells may change.

Lemma 1. *Let $S \in P(G)$. Then every edge of G belongs to at most 2 two–dimensional cells.*

Proof. Let us suppose that an edge $e \in G$ belongs to 3 two–dimensional cells. We could cut the e at the middlepoint and cut the boundary lines, which pass near e. If we fix an orientation of e, then we obtain a cyclic order of boundary lines near e. We rotate the parts of boundary lines clockwise on the one part of e in such a way that a boundary line will glue with the next boundary line according to cyclic order. We glue rotated parts of boundary lines with unrotated ones. So we get a new oriented spine with the same singularity graph, and the number of cells decrease. It is a contradiction to $S \in P(G)$. □

Lemma 2. *Let $S \in P(G)$ and an edge $e \in G$ belongs to 2 two–dimensional cells. Then if we choose an orientation on the boundary lines of each two–dimensional cell, then two boundary lines along e, which belong to one two–dimensional cell, will have parallel orientation.*

Proof. Let us suppose the contrary. Analogously to the proof of lemma 1 we cut the edge e in the middlepoint and cut the boundary lines. We rotate the parts of boundary lines clockwise on the one part of e in such a way that a boundary line will glue with the next boundary line according to cyclic order. We glue rotated parts of boundary lines with unrotated ones. So we get a new oriented spine with the same singularity graph, and the number of cells decrease. It is a contradiction to $S \in P(G)$. □

Lemma 3. *If $S \in P(G)$ then for every vertex $v \in G$ all incident to v edges belong to at most 2 two–dimensional cells.*

Proof. Suppose the contrary. Let OA, OB, OC and OD be 4 edges incident to a vertex $O \in G$.

Without loss of generality we can assume that OA belongs to 2 two–dimensional cells e_1 and e_2, and boundary line of e_1 passes through AOC and boundary lines of e_2 pass through AOB and AOD correspondingly. There is at least one more cell e_3 with boundary passing through O.

Let us consider the following cases.

Case (i). OB does not belong to a cell other than e_1 and e_2. Then COD belongs to e_3. By lemma 1 BOD belongs to e_2. By lemma 1 BOC belongs to e_1. Considering the fragments AOB, AOD and BOD of the boundary of e_2 we get a contradiction, as we cannot choose an orientation on them to satisfy lemma 2.

Case (ii). The boundary of e_3 passes through OB. Then by lemma 1 OB can belong only to the boundaries of e_2 and e_3. Hence we have the following subcases:

(1) e_3 contains COB, e_2 contains DOB;

(2) e_3 contains COB and DOB;

(3) e_3 contains DOB, e_2 contains COB.

In the case (1) COD cannot belong to e_2, so the edge OD contradicts to lemma 2.

In the case (2) COD belongs to e_3 and we have a contradiction to lemma 2 in either OC or OD.

In the case (3) COD belongs to e_2. Let us consider 4 segments AOB, AOD, COB and COD on the boundary of the cell e_2. The segment AOB is a neighbour of two segments, at least one of which is not COD. So, without loss of generality we may assume that the boundary of e_2 passes through AOB and then through COB (not through AOD or COD). Then we rotate along the edge OB and glue AOB with BOD into cell e'_3. So the edge AO belongs to three cells: e_1, e'_2 and e'_3, which contradicts to lemma 1. □

Definition 3. An operation of *gluing* a neighbourhood or singularity graph of the oriented spine T with one two–dimensional cell into a neighbourhood or singularity graph of spine $S \in P(G)$ is defined in the following way. Let us cut an edge $e \in G$ and consider 3 cutted boundary lines along e: l_1, l_2 and l_3. After we cut e we get pairs (l'_1, l''_1), (l'_2, l''_2) and (l'_3, l''_3) of endpoints of l_1, l_2 and l_3. Let us consider an oriented spine T and cut an edge f of singularity graph T. Let m_1, m_2 and m_3 boundary lines along f. After we cut f we get pairs of endpoints (m'_i, m''_i) for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Suppose (it is a significant assumption) that if we travel from (m'_1, m'_2, m'_3) along cutted m_1, m_2, m_3 we will reach (m''_1, m''_2, m''_3) (in another order, so the case $m'_1 \to m''_2, m'_3 \to m''_1, m''_2 \to m''_3$ is forbidden). We will call such edges f *cutable*.

Then we could glue l'_i to m'_i and l''_i to m''_i and obtain a new spine S'. The number of two–dimensional cells of S equals to the number of two–dimensional cells of S'.

Lemma 4. *Let a graph G be a singularity graph of an oriented spine. Let A, B and C be vertices of G such that G has edges BA and CA and a loop in a vertex A. Let l_1, l_2 and l_3 be boundary lines passing near edge BA. Let m_1, m_2 and m_3 be boundary lines passing near edge CA, so that l_1, l_2, l_3 passing through the loop turn to m_1, m_2 and m_3 correspondingly. Then the cyclic order of l_1, l_2, l_3 is different to the cyclic order of m_1, m_2, m_3.*

Proof. It follows from the definition of oriented spine. □

Lemma 5. *Let P be an oriented special spine with singularity graph $G \in A_n$, with minimal number of two–dimensional cells (minimal among all oriented special spines with singularity graph G). Then P has at most 2 two–dimensional cells.*

Proof. Let us consider the contrary, that P has at least 3 two–dimensional cells. Let us fix first 2 two–dimensional cells f_1 and f_2. Let us consider the set U of vertices of P, such that all incident to them edges belong to fixed cells f_1 and f_2. If an edge X_1X_2 is incident to a vertex $x_1 \in U$ an to a vertex $X_2 \notin U$, then by lemma 3 X_1X_2 belongs to only one of cells f_1 and f_2 (and doesn't belong to other cells). An edge X_1X_2 cannot belong to other cells because $X_1 \in U$. An edge X_1X_2 cannot belong to both cells f_1 and f_2 because an edge incident to X_2 belong to another cell and so vertex X_2 contradicts to lemma 3.

Let us consider a vertex $O \in U$, such that among incident to it edges OA, OB, OC and OD edge OA belongs to f_1 and f_2, and OC belongs to f_1 only. We will make several rotations along edges to obtain an oriented special spine with singularity graph G and minimal number of two–dimensional cells, so that cells other from f_1 and f_2 will not change. Cells f_1 and f_2 will change to cells f'_1 and f'_2 with the same set $U' = U$. And the edge OC will belong to both cells f'_1 and f'_2. The further proof is the following. Let $A_1, \ldots, A_n$ be a sequence of vertices of G such that $A_1, \ldots, A_{n-1} \in U$, $A_n \notin U$ and an edge A_1A_2 belongs to both cells f_1 and f_2. Then we make rotations so that edge $A_{i-1}A_i$ belongs to two cells $f_1^{(i-2)}$ and $f_2^{(i-2)}$ with the same set $U^{(i-2)} = U$ for $i = 2, \ldots, n$. But $A_n \notin U$ — contradiction.

So OA belongs to f_1 and f_2, OC belongs to f_1 only. We have the following cases.

1. $AOB \in f_2$, $AOC, AOD, BOD, BOC, COD \in f_1$

2. $AOB, AOD \in f_2$, $AOC, BOD, BOC, COD \in f_1$

3. $AOB, BOD \in f_2$, $AOC, AOD, BOC, COD \in f_1$

4. $AOB, AOD, BOD \in f_2$, $AOC, BOC, COD \in f_1$

In the case (2) we rotate along OA and get the case (1).

Case (1). Let us consider the part g_1 of boundary of f_1, which passes from BOC to BOD (i.e. BOC and BOD divide the boundary of f_1 into 2 segments, one of which is considered). We also mean that if we go along the boundary of f_1 from B to C through the BOC and further, then we will pass g_1 before we meet BO once more. If both AOC and DOC belong to g_1, then we rotate along OB, gluing AOB and BOD in one cell. We will obtain the case (3) if $AOD \in g_1$ and the case (4) if $AOD \notin g_1$. Else we rotate along OB and then OC will belong to two cells f_1' and f_2'.

Case (3). Let us consider the part g_1 of boundary of f_1, which passes from AOC to AOD (i.e. AOC and AOD divide the boundary of f_1 into 2 segments, one of which is considered). If not both of BOC and DOC belong to g_1, then we rotate along OA and get a spine such that OC belongs to two cells. If both of BOC and DOC belong to g_1, then we rotate OA, gluing AOD and AOB in one cell, and obtain the case (4).

The case (4) is impossible by lemma 2. □

Corollary 1. *For every graph $G \in A_n$ there exists a special oriented spine with singularity graph G and with at most 2 two–dimensional cells.*

Theorem 1. *For $n \geq 8$ we have $|M_n| \geq |A_{n-1}|$.*

Proof. Let us consider an arbitrary graph $G \in A_{n-1}$. Then there exists a special oriented spine S on the graph G with at most 2 two–dimensional cells. If S has one two–dimensional cell then we find an edge of G which is passed by the boundary of two–dimensional cell in different directions and glue it with a loop. If S has two two–dimensional cells we find an edge e which belongs to different cells and we glue into e a loop to obtain a special oriented spine with n vertices and one two–dimensional cell by lemma 4. □

Theorem 2. $\ln |M_n| \leq \ln(|A_n|) + n(1 + \ln(270))$.

Proof. Let C_n be the set of connected homogeneous graphs with n vertices of degree 4 (with loops and multiple edges). For each graph $G \in C_n$ there exist at most 18^n oriented special spines with singularity graph G. So $|M_n| \leq |C_n| \cdot 18^n$. By induction on n one could prove that

$$\ln(\frac{|C_n|}{|A_n|}) \leq n(1 + \ln(15)).$$

□

References

[1] B. Bollobas, Random graphs. *Cambr. Univ. Press*, 2001.

[2] *Frigerio R., Martelli B., Petronio C.* Complexity and Heegard genus of an infinite class of compact 3-manifolds// Pasific J. Math. — 2003. — *210*. — C. 283–297. // arxiv: math.GT/0206156 v1 2002;

[3] *Matveev S. V.* Algorithmic topology and classification of 3-manifolds. — ACM-monographs, vol. 9, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2003.